#### RECEIVED

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTH	iority DOC	KETED	10.000	FEB 1 8 200	)5
To:	FOR	muto /Dul	PCT	BARNES & THORNE	RURA
BRADFORD G. ADDISON	,	El		Dinate & Intollit	JU150
BARNES & THORNBURG 11 SOUTH MERIDIAN STREET	BY —	2/18/03 WR	TTEN OPINION	OF THE	
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204	DATE		ONAL SEARCHIN		
	CHE'D BY		(PCT Rule 43bis.	1)	
	DATE		(1 CT Ruis 13515.		
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	<b>15</b> FEB 20	05	
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER	ACTION See paragraph 2 below	·	
3220-75141					
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/mon	nth/year)	
PCT/US04/14581	11 May 2004 (11.05.200	04)	12 May 2003 (12.05.	2003)	
International Patent Classification (IPC)					
IPC(7): A61K 31/473, 31/4745; C07D	471/12, 491/02, 221/18 ar	ad US Cl.: 514/284,	283, 280, 279 ; 546/61	1, 51, 48, 41	•
Applicant					
PURDUE RESEARCH FOUNDATION	1				
1. This opinion contains indications re	elating to the following iten	ns:			
Box No. I Basis of th	e opinion				
Box No. II Priority					
	lishment of opinion with re	gard to novelty, inv	entive step and industri	al applicability	
	nity of invention				
Box No. V Reasoned	statement under Rule 43bis	s.1(a)(i) with regard ons supporting such	to novelty, inventive st	ep or industrial	
	ocuments cited			-	
	efects in the international a	pplication			
	oservations on the internation				
2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary Examin Authority other than this one to be that written opinions of this International	ing Authority ("IPEA") enter the IPEA and the chosen	IPEA has notified t	he International Bureau	apprount encours	
If this opinion is, as provided about IPEA a written reply together, wailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or	where appropriate, with an before the expiration of 22	nenamenis, beiore	THE EXPITATION OF 2 THE	MUD MOM MO OCCO	
For further options, see Form PC	Г/ISA/220.				1
3. For further details, see notes to Fo	orm PCT/ISA/220.				
Name and mailing address of the ISA/	US	Authorized office	10 K20/1	Harris A	$\sim$
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents		C.S. ALTAKIT			\
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	)	Telephone No.	(571) 272-1600	V	

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/14581

Box No. I Basis of this opinion
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a. type of material
a sequence listing
table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. format of material
in written format
in computer readable form
c. time of filing/furnishing
contained in international application as filed.
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/US04/14581

	INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING MOTORICA	
Box	x No. IV Lack of unity of invention	
1.	In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional paid additional fees  paid additional fees under protest  not paid additional fees	nal fees the applicant has:
2.	This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is reto pay additional fees.	not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant
3.	This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in acc	ccordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
	complied with	·
	not complied with for the following reasons:  See the lack of unity section of the International Search Report(Form PC	CT/ISA/210)
		•
	•	
4. (	Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following all parts.  the parts relating to claims Nos	ng parts of the international application:

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. IV) (January 2004)

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/14581

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

#### 1. Statement

пеш		
N	Claims 2-4, 7-11, 13, 14, 17, 18, 20, 23	YES
Novelty (N)	Claims 1, 5, 6, 12, 15, 16, 19, 21, 22, 24, 25	NO
	<u> </u>	÷
Inventive sten (IS)	Claims 2-4, 7-11, 13, 14, 17, 18, 20, 23	YES
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 1, 5, 6, 12, 15, 16, 19, 21, 22, 24, 25	NO
	CIMIND 11-07-07-12-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	
I duranial amplicability (IA)	Claims 1-25	YES
Industrial applicability (IA)		NO
	Claims NONE	

#### 2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1, 5, 6, 12, 24 and 25 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Cho et al. (Chem Parm. Bull.).

Cho discloses isoquinoline derivatives having antitumor activity. The compound no. 13 ( see page 901 ) disclosed by Cho is detrimental to the novelty of instant claims when R1 represents alkyl group, Q is O and Y represents methyl group in the instant compounds of formula of claim 1.

Claims 1, 5, 6 and 12 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Dyke et al. (Tetrahedron).

Dyke discloses isoquinoline derivatives. The compound no. 23 ( see page 463 ) disclosed by Dyke is detrimental to the novelty of instant claims when R1 represents alkyl group, Q is O and Y represents methyl group in the instant compounds of formula of claim 1.

Claims 15, 16, 24 and 25 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Shamma et al. ( Tetrahedron ).

Shamma discloses synthetic approaches to camptothecin. The compounds III and IV ( see page 2275 ) disclosed by Shamma are detrimental to the novelty of instant claims when Q is O and R1 represents H or OH in the instant compounds of formula of claim 15.

Claims 15, 16, 19, 21, 22, 24 and 25 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as-being anticipated by Shiyou (WO 01/30753).

Shiyou discloses camptothecin analogs. The compound no. 9 ( see figure 1 ) disclosed by Shiyou is detrimental to the novelty of instant claims when Rc represents -CH2OH in the instant compounds of formula of claim 15.

Claims 2-4, 7-11, 13, 14, 17, 18, 20 and 23 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the instant compounds.

Claims 1-25 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

		E (RO/US) FI					-61	TEET
· toekti	FICATION OF TH	E INTERNATIO	KAL API	PLICATION				1
INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION	KUMBER.	. IHTE	OFFARE	HAL FILING				
PCY/USO4/1458		11	May	2004 (	11.	05,20	504	)
APPLICANT (Name)		1	1					
PURDUE RESEA	RCH FOI	NDATIO	<u> </u>	<del></del>	<del></del>	·	~	
	PAYKEKTS						FUKOS	
	Dec	osit Account	Deport	t Account	To Dep	osil Account	To Dapo	Al Account
Payment on Filing	10	0435			DATE:	•	DATE:	
Deposit Account	16	11/2004	DATE:		-			<del></del>
CASH/CHECK		CASH/CHECK		SH/CHECK		BY CHECK		BY CHECK
150	160	H\$1,600.00						
151		/						
153								
800								
201					<del> </del>		<b> </b>	
802							<del> </del>	
892							<del> </del>	
			Total P		Total	Refyaded:	Total	Refvaded:
Total Paid	: T.	el Peld:	· ·					
States Included for 89 2:		892: 692:						
<b>` </b>								
States Included for 893:	893	•	893:					
States included for \$93:	893	:	<b>893</b> :					
States included for 893:	893	:	893:					
States included for 493:	893	:	893:					
States included for 893:	893	!	893:					
States Included for 893:	893	:	893:					
States included for \$93:	893		893:					
States Included for 893:	893	:	893:					
States Included for 493:	893	:	893:					
States included for \$93:	893	•	893:					
States Included for \$93:	893	•	893:				¥	
States Included for \$93:	893		893:					
States included for \$93:	893		893:					
States Included for \$93:	893	•	893:				<i>y</i>	
States included for \$93:	893		893:				2	
States included for 193:	893	:	893:				¥	
States included for 193:  Date Mailed:							ž	rus Authoris
		C/US Authoriza		JS Authorizat	(oa RO,	/US Authoriza	itton RO	rus Authoriz
Date Mailed:				JS Authorizati	(oa RO,	/US Authoriza	illon ROA	rus Authoriz

PCT 'RO/102(6) (U.S. VERSIONI (Rev. 10-82) U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE - Patent & Trademat

# CHAPTER I PCT TELEPHONE MEMORANDUM FOR LACK OF UNITY OF INVENTION



PCT No.: PCT/US04/14581 Examiner: Charanjit S. Aulakh Attorney spoken to: Richard D. Conrad Date of call: 16 November 2004 Amount of payment approved: \$1,000.00 Deposit account number to be charged: 10-0435 Attorney elected to pay for ALL additional inventions Attorney elected to pay only for the additional inventions covered by Group(s): -- encompassing -Claim(s): Attorney elected NOT to pay for any additional inventions, therefore, only the first claimed invention (Group I) covered by Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ has been searched. Attorney was orally advised that there is no right to protest for any group not paid for. Attorney was orally advised that any protest must be filed no later than 15 days from the mailing of the Search Report (PCT/ISA/210). **Time Limit For Filing A Protest** Applicant is hereby given 15 days from the mailing date of this Search Report in which to file a protest of the holding of lack of unity of invention. In accordance with PCT Rule 40.2, applicant may protest the holding of lack of unity only with respect to the group(s) paid for. **Detailed Reasons For Holding Lack of Unity of Invention:** Please See Continuation Sheet Note: A copy of this form must be attached to the Search Report.

USPTO/299 (August 1997) B

International application No: PCT/US04/14581

## ATTACHMENT TO CHAPTER I PCT TELEPHONE MEMORANDUM FOR LACK OF UNITY OF INVENTION

#### Continuation of Detailed Reasons For Holding Lack of Unity of Invention:

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In order for more than one species to be examined, the appropriate additional examination fees must be paid. The species are as follows:

- I. Compounds of formula of claim 1, pharmaceutical compositions containing these compounds and a method of using these compounds.
- II. Compounds of formula of claim 15, pharmaceutical compositions containing these compounds and a method of using these compounds.

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Species I: Claims 1-14

Speicies II: Claims 15-23

The following claim(s) are generic: Claims 24 and 25.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

There is no common core which in the Markush Practice, is a significant structural element shared by all of the alternatives; see PCT Administrative Instructions Annex B Part I (f) (i) (B) (1).

Note: A copy of this form must be attached to the Search Report.